

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,775	06/04/2001	Larry C. Sarver	2543-010814	2319
7590 02/20/2004 WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON P.C. 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			EXAMINER	
			MASINICK, MICHAEL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	1.15% P
Pittsburgh, PA	15219-1818		DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· <u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/873,775	SARVER, LARRY C.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael D Masinick	2125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. DANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 March 2002.	:					
•							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	·_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 I I S C 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ight phonty under 33 0.3.0. §	3 1 1 3 (a) - (u) 01 (1).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ante have been received	: •					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		upplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p							
application from the International Bur		received in this Mational Glage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.					
	·	·					
Attachment(s)		:					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .	6)	→ :					

Application/Control Number: 09/873,775 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Smart Card and Security Basics" by CardLogix in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,937,732 to Brundisini.
- 3. Referring to claims 1 and 7, CardLogix shows a method of transmitting process control instructions from a remote site to a site of process control comprising the steps of: a) storing data on a portable memory card at one location; b) transporting the memory card to another location; c) transferring the data from the memory card to a memory card reader, d) transferring the data from the memory card reader to a computer; e) storing the data in the computer of step (d); and f) operating a system by the computer of step (d) according to the data stored in step (e). All steps above are well documented pieces of well known smart card technology as clearly shown in the "Smart Card and Security Basics" document.
- CardLogix does not show that the data stored on the card can be process control 4. instructions which can control a process control system.
- 5. Brundisini shows an irrigation controller for controlling a plurality of watering stations where individual portable memory modules contain process control instructions which control the irrigation of individual stations.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/873,775

Art Unit: 2125

- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Smart Card technology of CardLogix as the portable memory module of the current invention (with obvious modifications such as adding a card reader) because "Smart cards greatly improve the convenience and security of any transaction. Smart cards would also be much less cumbersome than the "memory modules" of Brundisini.
- 7. Referring to claim 2, CardLogix shows wherein said memory card is a smart card.
- 8. Referring to claims 3 and 8, Brundisini shows wherein the process control instructions comprise a schedule for delivering water in an irrigation system (Col 2, line 62 Col 3, line 7).
- 9. Referring to claims 4 and 9, Brundisini shows wherein the process control instructions comprise a schedule for opening a valve on an irrigation water line ("Water station is activated" Col 2, line 62 Col 3, line 7).
- 10. Referring to claim 5 and 10, Brundisini shows wherein the process control instructions comprise flow rate for water in an irrigation water line ("...allowing the user great flexibility in prescribing the exact watering schedule desired" Col 1, lines 37-40). Examiners notes that the "exact watering schedule desired" would obviously contain the flow rate of the watering valves, without which the schedule is meaningless.
- 11. Referring to claim 6 and 11, CardLogix shows wherein step (c) comprises entering a security code into the memory card reader to allow transfer of the process control instructions to the memory card reader (Page 18). Examiner notes that Pin Number and Key Technologies are well known in the Smart Card industry.

Application/Control Number: 09/873,775

Art Unit: 2125

12. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,437,692 to Petite in view of "Smart Card and Security Basics" by CardLogix in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,937,732 to Brundisini as shown above.

- Referring to claim 12-15, Petite shows a method of monitoring an irrigation site comprising the steps of a) collecting process data from an irrigation system at one location wherein the process data comprises flow rate, totalized flow, equipment status, or environmental conditions at the irrigation site (Abstract, Figure 1). Petite also shows a step of adjusting process conditions for the irrigation system based on the process data transferred to the other location (Figure 3D).
- 14. Petite does not show storing the process data of step (a) on a smart card; c) transporting the smart card to another location; and d) transferring the process data stored on the smart card of step (c) to a computer at the other location or wherein said step of adjusting the process conditions comprises: i) storing irrigation control instructions on a smart card at the remote site; ii) transporting the smart card to the irrigation site; iii) transferring the irrigation control instructions from the smart card to a smart card reader; iv) transferring the irrigation control instructions from the smart card reader to a computer; v) storing the irrigation control instructions in the computer of step (iv); and vi) adjusting the irrigation system according to the instructions stored in step (i) in response to the process data collected in step (a).
- 15. Previous rejection of CardLogix in view of Brundisini shows storing data on a smart card followed by the remainder of the steps above as has been shown with regard to the previous rejection of claims 1-11.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/873,775

Art Unit: 2125

Page 5

16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the smart card technology as applied to claims 1-11 as the data transfer system of Petite because Smart cards are more secure than the wireless technology applied in Petite.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D Masinick whose telephone number is (703) 305-7738. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. P. P.

mdm

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100